



House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 786

January Session, 2009

Substitute House Joint Resolution No. 49

House of Representatives, April 21, 2009

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. LAWLOR of the 99th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute joint resolution ought to be adopted.

RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE CLAIMS COMMISSIONER TO DENY OR DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE.

Resolved by this Assembly:

1 Section 1. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file
2 number 20871 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim
3 against the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of
4 Joaquin Almadover, is confirmed.

5 Sec. 2. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
6 20770 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
7 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Ashlyn
8 Anderson, is confirmed.

9 Sec. 3. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file numbers
10 20877, 20878, 20879, 20880, 20881, 20882, 20883, 20884, 20885, 20886,
11 20887 and 20888 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the
12 claims against the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred

13 dollars of Troy Anderson, et al., is confirmed.

14 Sec. 4. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
15 20975 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
16 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Lawrence
17 Andrews, is confirmed.

18 Sec. 5. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
19 21175 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
20 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Kirby
21 Barnes, is confirmed.

22 Sec. 6. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
23 21389 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
24 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Lisa
25 Barrett, is confirmed.

26 Sec. 7. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
27 21542 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
28 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Yolanda
29 Bonilla, is confirmed.

30 Sec. 8. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
31 20694 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
32 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Alan
33 Boucher, Sr., is confirmed.

34 Sec. 9. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
35 21177 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
36 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Dennis
37 Byars, is confirmed.

38 Sec. 10. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
39 20968 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
40 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Terrence
41 Campbell, is confirmed.

42 Sec. 11. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number

43 21409 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
44 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Jason
45 Day, is confirmed.

46 Sec. 12. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
47 20813 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
48 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Mark
49 DeCosta, is confirmed.

50 Sec. 13. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
51 21183 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
52 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Minerva
53 Lachira, is confirmed.

54 Sec. 14. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
55 21184 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
56 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Minerva
57 Lachira, ppa Sean Andrew Pyle, is confirmed.

58 Sec. 15. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
59 21458 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
60 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Raymond
61 H. Ng, is confirmed.

62 Sec. 16. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
63 20990 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
64 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Anna M.
65 O'Connell, is confirmed.

66 Sec. 17. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
67 21539 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
68 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Anthony
69 Oliphant, is confirmed.

70 Sec. 18. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
71 21618 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
72 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Frank A.
73 Perrelli, is confirmed.

74 Sec. 19. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
75 21279 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
76 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Robert
77 Thomas Pinkston, is confirmed.

78 Sec. 20. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
79 21280 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
80 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Robert
81 Thomas Pinkston, is confirmed.

82 Sec. 21. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
83 21063 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
84 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Justinian
85 Rweyemamu, is confirmed.

86 Sec. 22. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
87 21502 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
88 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Paul
89 Samuels, is confirmed.

90 Sec. 23. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
91 21503 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
92 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Michael
93 Spellman, is confirmed.

94 Sec. 24. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
95 20973 of said commissioner, ordering the denial of the claim against
96 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Nancy
97 Taylor, is confirmed.

98 Sec. 25. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
99 21162 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
100 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Beverly
101 Tustin, fiduciary of the estate of George Tustin, is confirmed.

102 Sec. 26. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
103 21163 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
104 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Beverly

105 Tustin, is confirmed.

106 Sec. 27. That the decision of the Claims Commissioner, file number
107 20099 of said commissioner, ordering the dismissal of the claim against
108 the state in excess of seven thousand five hundred dollars of Richard B.
109 Weber, M.D., is confirmed.

JUD *Joint Favorable Subst.*

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note**State Impact:**

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 10 \$	FY 11 \$
Various State Agencies	GF - Cost Avoidance	See Below	See Below

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The resolution accepts the Claims Commissioner's recommendation to dismiss this claim. The resolution thereby avoids a potential state cost for any judgments that might be rendered against it along with associated litigation costs, since the claimant is seeking recovery of in excess of \$7,500 in damages for each dismissed claim.

The Out Years

There is no fiscal impact in the out years since the resolution resolves the matter.

OLR Bill Analysis

HJ 49

***RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE DECISION OF THE CLAIMS
COMMISSIONER TO DENY OR DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS
AGAINST THE STATE.***

SUMMARY:

The Office of Legislative Research does not analyze Resolutions.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute

Yea 36 Nay 0 (04/03/2009)